

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/337,675	Applicant(s) SWANSON ET AL.	
	Examiner SUSAN TRAN	Art Unit 1615	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SUSAN TRAN. (3) ____.

(2) Yang Tang. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

To place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) amend the language of claim 30 to include the transitional phrase "consisting of" with respect to the nanoparticulate composition; 2) correct claim 54 to further limit claim 1; 3) incorporate limitations (b) and (c) of claim 53 into claims 1, 30 and 35; 4) cancel claim 53; 5) cancel claims that do not further limit the amended claims 1, 30 and 35 (see for example claims 14-16, 18, 21, 22 and 25-28); and correct the transitional phrase "comprised of" with respect to the rate controlling matrix to recite "consists of". Applicant proposed to amend the claims as follow: see Examiner's Amendment.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment